

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS REPLY
MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT
OF ITS MOTION FOR JURY
INSTRUCTIONS BASED ON
SPOLIATION**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) certain information in its Reply Memorandum of Law In
 3 Support Of Its Motion for Jury Instruction Based On Spoliation (“Waymo’s Reply”).

4 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the
 6 documents listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
Waymo’s Reply	Highlighted in blue
Exhibit 1 to Waymo’s Reply	Entire document

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: _____, 2017

12 _____
 13 HON. JUDGE WILLIAM ALSUP
 14 United States District Judge